1 THE HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 9 BLACK LIVES MATTER SEATTLE-No. 2:20-cy-00887-RAJ KING COUNTY, ABIE EKENEZAR, 10 SHARON SAKAMOTO, MURACO JOINT STATEMENT ON KYASHNA-TOCHA, ALEXANDER PROCEDURAL MATTERS FOR 11 WOLDEAB, NATHALIE GRAHAM, **EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON** AND ALEXANDRA CHEN, **CONTEMPT** 12 Plaintiffs, 13 v. 14 CITY OF SEATTLE, SEATTLE POLICE 15 DEPARTMENT, 16 Defendant. 17 18 Pursuant to the Court's Minute Order (Dkt. 90), Plaintiffs Black Lives Matter Seattle-19 King County, Abie Ekenezar, Sharon Sakamoto, Muraco Kyashna-tochá, Alexander Woldeab, 20 Nathalie Graham, and Alexandra Chen, and Defendant City of Seattle (collectively the "Parties") 21 submit the following Joint Statement on procedural matters related to the evidentiary hearing on 22 Plaintiffs' motion for a finding of civil contempt: 23 Α. Presentation of Evidence via Video Technology 24 The five-day evidentiary hearing is set for August 26 to September 1, 2020, and all 25 proceedings will be held via Zoom videoconference as directed by the Court (Dkt. 89). The 26 Parties will host trial exhibits on which they may rely at the hearing—including documents,

JOINT STATEMENT RE PROCEDURAL MATTERS (No. 2:20-cv-00887-RAJ) -1

video, or photographic evidence—on their respective computers, to be shared on the Zoom platform at appropriate points in the hearing.

B. Time Management

The Parties will be allotted equal time (approximately 2.5 court days) to present evidence and argument. The Parties' allotted time will be tracked by the Courtroom Deputy using "chess-clock" style management, with a Party's time running during direct and re-direct of the Party's own witnesses, and cross-examination of the other side's witnesses, as well as during its opening statement and closing argument (and other arguments that might arise during the hearing). Each side will have a maximum of twenty (20) minutes for an opening and thirty (30) minutes for closing argument. Time used by the Court in questioning witnesses, if any, will be considered direct examination, and therefore allotted to (*i.e.*, deducted from) the Party presenting that witness.

C. Form and Authentication of Evidence

The Parties agree to a "hybrid" approach to introducing witness testimony, meaning that such testimony may be introduced either live (*via* Zoom) or by sworn written declarations. The declarations a Party intends to rely upon must be served on the opposing side no later than the Initial Disclosure dates set by the Court (August 5 for Plaintiffs; August 12 for Defendant). Those declarations must be submitted to the Court as attachments to the Joint Witness and Exhibit List that, per Dkt. 90, must be filed by noon on August 18, 2020. The Parties agree that, as admitted evidence, the declarations (including attached photographic exhibits or embedded video links, if any), may be referred to and displayed during opening and closing arguments, and may be presented verbatim or displayed (in whole or in part) by counsel during that Party's case-in-chief.

The Parties agree to promptly confer regarding the evidence identified by each side (including video), to determine whether they will stipulate to authenticity and admissibility.

JOINT STATEMENT RE PROCEDURAL MATTERS (No. 2:20-cv-00887-RAJ) –2

1

2

3 4

5 6

8

9

7

10

12

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

JOINT STATEMENT RE PROCEDURAL MATTERS (No. 2:20-cv-00887-RAJ) -3

D. **Review and Production of Police Video**

relevant video be included in Defendant's August 12 production.

The Parties continue to discuss the scope of the production of police video.

Plaintiffs believe that, consistent with Defendant's representations at the July 31 hearing and the Court's Minute Orders (Dkt. 89 and Dkt. 90), Defendant should produce relevant police body-worn video evidence as part of its Initial Disclosures by noon on August 12, 2020. Plaintiffs have communicated to Defendant the categories of video evidence that they regard as relevant to the matters in controversy at the evidentiary hearing, and have requested that all such

Defendant is reviewing video and expects to communicate to Plaintiff its position on the scope of its anticipated production within the next 24 hours. Defendant agrees not to withhold any relevant footage that it comes across during the course of Defendant's review.

The parties are still discussing their respective interpretations of what is relevant to this proceeding and how such relevant videos will be marked for production, and which types of videos would qualify. If the Parties are unable to agree on the scope of the production, Plaintiffs intend to request a brief telephonic conference with the Court to obtain clarification on that important issue.

> Perkins Coie LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 Seattle, WA 98101-3099

Phone: 206.359.8000 Fax: 206.359.9000

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	DATED: August 4, 2020 By: s/ David A. Perez
2	By: s/Joseph M. McMillan
3	By: <u>s/ Carolyn S. Gilbert</u> By: <u>s/ Nitika Arora</u>
4	By: <u>s/ Heath Hyatt</u> By: <u>s/ Paige L. Whidbee</u>
5	David A. Perez #43959
6	Joseph M. McMillan #26527 Carolyn S. Gilbert #51285
7	Nitika Arora #54084
8	Heath Hyatt, #54141 Paige L. Whidbee, # 55072
9	Perkins Coie LLP
10	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 Seattle, WA 98101-3099
11	Telephone: 206.359.8000 Facsimile: 206.359.9000
12	Email: DPerez@perkinscoie.com JMcMillan@perkinscoie.com
13	CarolynGilbert@perkinscoie.com NArora@perkinscoie.com
14	HHyatt@perkinscoie.com PWhidbee@perkinscoie.com
15	
16	By: s/ Molly Tack-Hooper
17	By: <u>s/ Nancy L. Talner</u> By: <u>s/ Lisa Nowlin</u>
18	By: <u>s/ Breanne Schuster</u> By: <u>s/ John Midgley</u>
	Molly Tack-Hooper, #56356
19	Nancy L. Talner #11196 Lisa Nowlin #51512
20	Breanne Schuster #49993 John Midgley, #6511
21	American Civil Liberties Union of
22	Washington Foundation
23	P.O. Box 2728 Seattle, WA 98111
24	Telephone: (206) 624-2184 Email: mtackhooper@aclu-wa.org
25	talner@aclu-wa.org lnowlin@aclu-wa.org
26	bschuster@aclu-wa.org jmidgley@aclu-wa.org

JOINT STATEMENT RE PROCEDURAL MATTERS (No. 2:20-cv-00887-RAJ) -4

	•
1	
2	By: s/ Robert S. Chang By: s/ Melissa Lee
3	By: s/ Jessica Levin
4	Robert S. Chang, #44083 Melissa Lee #38808
5	Jessica Levin #40837
6	Fred T. Korematsu Center for Law and Equality
7	Ronald A. Peterson Law Clinic Seattle University School of Law
8	1112 E. Columbia Street Seattle, WA 98122
9	Telephone: 206.398.4025 Fax: 206.398.4077
10	Email: changro@seattleu.edu
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Black Lives Matter Seattle-King County, Abie Ekenezar, Sharon
12	Sakamoto, Muraco Kyashna-tochá, Alexander Woldeab, Nathalie Graham, and Alexandra
13	Chen
14	By: <u>s/ Ghazal Sharifi</u> By: <u>s/ Carolyn Boies</u>
15	Ghazal Sharifi, #47750 Carolyn Boies, #40395
16	
17	Seattle City Attorney's Office 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050
	Seattle, WA 98104 Telephone: (206) 684-8200
18	Email: Ghazal.Sharifi@seattle.gov
19	Carolyn.Boies@seattle.gov
20	Attorneys for Defendant City of Seattle
21	By: s/Robert L. Christie
22	By: s/Thomas P. Miller By: s/Ann E. Trivett
23	By: s/ Megan M. Coluccio Robert L. Christie, #10895
24	Thomas P. Miller, #34473 Ann E. Trivett, #39228
25	Megan M. Coluccio, #44178
26	Christie Law Group, PLLC 2100 Westlake Avenue N., Suite 206
	·

JOINT STATEMENT RE PROCEDURAL MATTERS (No. 2:20-cv-00887-RAJ) -5

Case 2:20-cv-00887-RAJ Document 92 Filed 08/04/20 Page 6 of 6

1	Seattle WA 98109
2	Telephone: (206) 957-9669 Email: bob@christielawgroup.com
3	tom@christielawgroup.com
4	Seattle, WA 98109 Telephone: (206) 957-9669 Email: bob@christielawgroup.com tom@christielawgroup.com ann@christielawgroup.com megan@christielawgroup.com
5	Attorneys for Defendant City of Seattle
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

JOINT STATEMENT RE PROCEDURAL MATTERS (No. 2:20-cv-00887-RAJ) -6